UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,030 | 03/23/2004 | Koji Kushida | 393032044700 | 5667 |
| | 7590 10/31/200 FOERSTER, LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 555 WEST FIF | | | SUTHERS, DOUGLAS JOHN | |
| SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/31/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/808,030 | KUSHIDA, KOJI | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Douglas J. Suthers | 2614 | | |

| | Douglas J. Suthers | 2614 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ess | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appefor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejectio | n. .ED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount on tended statutory period for reply original contents. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIMENTS. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | t prior to the data of filing a brief | عط لمصمعهم مطعم النب | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous | | cted claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | maliant Amandment (F | OTOL 224) | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | npliant Amendment (r | FIOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmen | t canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-18. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ed. | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation of "without user input", of amended claims, would require further consideration and may require further search.